Case Docket No. US000240

A

MISSIONER FOR PATENTS, Washington, D.C. 20231

Enclosed for filing is the patent application of Inventor(s):

KALISHAL KURĂPATI

For: TELEVISION PROGRAM RECOMMENDER WITH AUTOMATIC IDENTIFICATION OF CHANGING VIEWER PREFERENCES

ENCLOSED ARE:

[X] Associate Power of Attorney;

Information Disclosure Statement, Form PTO-1449 and copies of documents listed therein;

Preliminary Amendment;

X Specification (19 Pages of Specification, Claims, & Abstract);

X Declaration and Power of Attorney:

2 Pages of a [X]fully executed []unsigned Declaration);

[X] Drawing (4 sheets of [X]informal []formal sheets);

Certified copy of application Serial No.

[X] Other: Authorization Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136;[X] Assignment to Philips Electronic North America Corporaiton

FEE COMPUTATION



CLAIMS AS FILED						
FOR	NUMBER FILED	NUMBER EXTRA	RATE	BASIC FEE - \$690.00		
Total Claims	32 - 20 =	12	X \$18	216.00		
Independent Claims	6 - 3 =	3	X \$78 =	234.00		
Multiple Depen	\$260 =	0.00				
TOTAL FILING F	=	\$1,140.00				

Please charge Deposit Account No. 14-1270 in the amount of the total filing fee indicated above, plus any deficiencies. The Commissioner is also hereby authorized to charge any other fees which may be required, except the issue fee, or credit any overpayment to Account No. 14-1270.

[] Amend the specification by inserting before the first line the sentence: This is a continuation-in-part of application Serial No. , filed .

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

Express Mail Mailing Label No. . **EL458219419US**

Date of Deposit SEPTEMBER 20, 2000

I hereby certify that this paper and fee is being deposited with the United States Postal Service "Express Mail Post Office to Addressee" service under 37 CFR 1.10 on the date indicated above and is addressed to the Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231

Noemi Chapa

Name

Norm Chope

Send correspondence and papers to Corporate Patent Counsel U.S. Philips Corporation, 580 White Plains Road, Tarrytown, New York 10591

Gregory L. Thorne, Reg. No. 39,398

Attorney

(914) 333-9665

Philips Electronics North America Corp.

580 White Plains Road

Tarrytown, NY 10591

25

30

35

TELEVISION PROGRAM RECOMMENDER WITH AUTOMATIC IDENTIFICATION OF CHANGING VIEWER PREFERENCES

5 Field of the Invention

The present invention relates to television program recommenders, and more particularly, to a method and apparatus for generating television program recommendations.

10 Background of the Invention

As the number of channels available to television along diversity viewers has increased, with the programming content available on such channels, it has become increasingly challenging for television viewers to identify television programs of Historically, television interest. viewers identified television programs of interest by analyzing printed television program guides. Typically, such printed television program quides contained grids listing the available television programs by time and date, channel and title. number of television programs has increased, it has become difficult to effectively identify desirable increasingly television programs using such printed guides.

More recently, television program guides have become available in an electronic format, often referred to as electronic program guides (EPGs). Like printed television program guides, EPGs contain grids listing the available television programs by time and date, channel and title. Some EPGs, however, allow television viewers to sort or search the available television programs in accordance with personalized preferences. In addition, EPGs allow for on-screen presentation of the available television programs.

While EPGs allow viewers to identify desirable programs more efficiently than conventional printed guides, they suffer from a number of limitations, which if overcome, could further enhance the ability of viewers to identify desirable programs.

1

For example, many viewers have a particular preference towards, or bias against, certain categories of programming, such as action-based programs or sports programming. Thus, the viewer preferences can be applied to the EPG to obtain a set of recommended programs that may be of interest to a particular viewer.

Thus, a number of tools have been proposed or suggested for recommending television programming. The TivoTM system, for example, commercially available from Tivo, Inc., of Sunnyvale, California, allows viewers to rate shows using a "Thumbs Up and Thumbs Down" feature and thereby indicate programs that the viewer likes and dislikes, respectively. Thereafter, the TiVo receiver matches the recorded viewer preferences with received program data, such as an EPG, to make recommendations tailored to each viewer.

Such tools for generating television recommendations provide selections of programs that a viewer might like, based on their prior viewing history. While such television program recommenders help a user to identify television programs of interest, they suffer from a number of limitations, which if overcome, could greatly improve performance in the generated recommendations. For example, with generating television program conventional tools for recommendations, changes in the television viewing habits of a referred "non-stationary viewer, often to as viewing preferences," are not easily identified. Furthermore, conventional tools for generating television recommendations consider a person's viewing history as a whole generating a viewer profile and television program when recommendation scores.

In addition, with such conventional tools for generating television program recommendations, it is hard to identify portions of a user's viewing history that can be

C:\TEMP\701258.doc 2

10

25

deleted. Thus, unnecessary portions of the user's viewing history are often maintained longer than necessary and some desired portions could be prematurely deleted. Generally, if viewing preferences do not change much over time, older viewing histories can be deleted without loss of any performance in the generated recommendations. There is currently no way, however, to determine if the viewer's preferences have changed.

A need therefore exists for methods and apparatus for generating television program recommendations that identify changing viewer preferences. A further need therefore exists for methods and apparatus for generating television program recommendations that adapt television program recommendations to changing viewer preferences.

Summary of the Invention

10

20

25

30

Generally, a method and apparatus are disclosed for generating television program recommendations based on the prior viewing history of a viewer. According to one aspect of the invention, changes in the viewing preferences are automatically identified. Furthermore, once changing viewing preferences are identified, the disclosed television programming recommender (i) adapts the generated television program recommendations to such changes in viewing preferences, or (ii) more efficiently manages the storage of the viewing history.

For cyclical or periodic changes in viewing preferences, such as repetitive changes corresponding to the time of day or time of year, the television programming recommender generates television program recommendations using a sub-set of the viewing history from a corresponding earlier time period. Likewise, for true or permanent changes in viewing preferences, the television programming recommender optionally generates television program recommendations using the most recent sub-set of the viewing history, which most likely reflects the current

viewing preferences. Ιn another variation, program recommendations can be generated using a combination, such as the union or intersection, of recommendations based on two different periods of time.

5 According to another aspect of the invention, disclosed television programming recommender identifies portions of a user's viewing history that can be deleted without loss of The television programming recommender confirms information. that viewing preferences have not changed significantly over time, and thereafter deletes older portions of the viewing any performance in the generated history without loss of recommendations.

Generally, the present invention establishes at least two sub-sets, VH_1 and VH_K , from the available viewing history of The disclosed television programming recommender user. generates viewer profiles, P_1 and P_K , corresponding to each Thereafter, the viewing respectively. history sub-set, television programming recommender utilizes the viewer profiles, corresponding set of generate a program and P_K , to recommendation scores for the programs in a given time interval.

The top-N (where N is a positive integer) recommended television programs, S_1 and S_K , are evaluated. If the two sets of programs, S_1 and S_K , are identical, then the viewer's Thus, the oldest preferences have not changed significantly. portions of the viewing history can be discarded without loss of information. If, however, the two sets of top-N programs, S_1 and S_{K} , are different, then the television programming recommender has identified a non-stationary viewer preference. In the event that a change in viewing preferences is detected, the television programming recommender can present the user with one or both sets of programs, S_1 and S_K , or a combination of the two sets, such as a union or intersecting set.

4

10

K Hon my Hu

20

25

A more complete understanding of the present invention, as well as further features and advantages of the present invention, will be obtained by reference to the following detailed description and drawings.

5

25

30

Brief Description of the Drawings

- FIG. 1 illustrates a television programming recommender in accordance with the present invention;
- FIG. 2 illustrates the processing of a viewing history 10 in accordance with the present invention;
 - FIG. 3 is a sample table from the program database of FIG. 1; and
 - FIG. 4 is a flow chart describing an exemplary viewer preference evaluation process embodying principles of the present invention.

<u>Detailed Description</u>

FIG. 1 illustrates a television programming recommender 100 in accordance with the present invention. As shown in FIG. 1, the television programming recommender 100 evaluates each of the programs in an electronic programming guide (EPG) 110 to identify programs of interest to a particular user. The set of recommended programs can be presented to the user using a set-top terminal/television 150, for example, using well known on-screen presentation techniques. The television programming recommender 100 identifies programs that a viewer might like, based on their prior viewing history 200, discussed further below in conjunction with FIG. 2.

According to one feature of the present invention, discussed further below in conjunction with FIG. 2, the television programming recommender 100 considers sub-sets of the entire viewing history 200 when generating television program recommendations. Thus, the entire viewing history 200 is

. COREED ROTTO

25

30

5

10

analyzed in parts and multiple viewing profiles are generated for a single person. For each sub-set of the viewing history 200, television programming recommender 100 generates the corresponding user profile that can be utilized to generate television program recommendation scores. In one embodiment, each sub-set of the viewing history 200 is selected by either (i) uniformly randomly sampling sub-sets of television programs from the entire viewing history 200, or (ii) selecting a time span that is less than the entire time period covered by the viewing history 200.

In this manner, the television programming recommender 100 can identify non-stationary viewer preferences. Furthermore, once changing viewing preferences are identified, the television programming recommender 100 can (i) adapt the generated television program recommendations to such changes in viewing preferences, and (ii) more efficiently manage the storage of the viewing history 200. For cyclical or periodic changes in viewing preferences, such as repetitive changes corresponding to the time of day or time of year, the television programming recommender 100 generates television program recommendations using a sub-set of the viewing history 200 from a corresponding earlier time Likewise, for true or permanent changes in viewing preferences, the television programming recommender 100 generates television program recommendations using the most recent sub-set of the viewing history 200, which most likely reflects the current viewing preferences.

For example, the viewing habits of many viewers may change temporarily during the fall season with the start of a new television season, or during the playoffs of a given sport. Likewise, the viewing habits of a given viewer may be different in the morning hours as compared to the evening hours. Thus, the performance of the television programming recommender 100 can improve if the portion of the viewing history 200 that is used to

25

30

5

10

generate the program recommendations more closely resembles the time period of interest. In other words, if a viewer changed his or her viewing preferences, the programs corresponding to the new preferences would appear in the most recent viewing history.

According to another feature of the present invention, the television programming recommender 100 identifies portions of a user's viewing history that can be deleted. The television programming recommender 100 of the present invention can confirm that viewing preferences have not changed significantly over time, and thereby delete older portions of the viewing history without loss of any performance in the generated recommendations.

the television shown in FIG. 1, programming recommender 100 contains a viewing history 200, discussed further below in conjunction with FIG. 2, a program database 300, discussed further below in conjunction with FIG. 3, and a viewer preference evaluation process 400, discussed further below in conjunction with FIG. 4. Generally, the viewing history 200 contains the set of shows that was watched (and/or not watched) The program database 300 by the viewer over a period of time. records information for each program that is available in a given The viewer preference evaluation process 400 time interval. generates recommendation scores for each program in a particular account non-stationary viewing interval, taking into the present invention, accordance with preferences in indication of together with an the displays the programs recommendation score assigned to each program by the television programming recommender 100.

The television program recommender 100 may be embodied as any computing device, such as a personal computer or workstation. In addition, the television programming recommender 100 may be embodied as any available television program recommender, such as the Tivo^{m} system, commercially available from Tivo, Inc., of Sunnyvale, California, or the television

described in United States program recommenders Patent Application Serial No. 09/466,406, filed December 17, 1999, entitled "Method and Apparatus for Recommending Television Programming Using Decision Trees," (Attorney Docket No. 700772) and United States Patent Application Serial No. 09/498,271, filed Feb. 4, 2000, entitled "Bayesian TV Show Recommender," (Attorney Docket No. 700690), or any combination thereof, as modified herein to carry out the features and functions of the present invention.

illustrates the processing of the viewing FIG. 2 history 200 in accordance with the present invention. As shown in FIG. 2, at least two sub-sets, VH_1 and VH_K , are established from the viewing history 200. The viewing history sub-sets, VH_1 and VH_K , correspond to the sets of shows watched by the viewer during time spans T_1 and T_2 , respectively. In the example shown in FIG. 2, time spans T_1 and T_2 are non-overlapping. The time spans T_1 and T_2 could easily be overlapping, however, as would be apparent to a person of ordinary skill in the art. Furthermore, one or both time spans T_1 and T_2 can be discontinuous, and need not be of equal duration. The illustrative viewing history subsets, VH_1 and VH_K , correspond to time spans that are less than the entire time period covered by the viewing history 200. viewer changed his or her viewing preferences from time span T1 span T_2 , the programs corresponding to the preferences would appear in time span T_2 .

As shown in FIG. 2, and discussed further below in conjunction with FIG. 4, the television programming recommender 100 in accordance with the present invention generates a viewer corresponding to each time P_1 and P_{K} , profile, Thereafter, the television programming recommender respectively. 100 utilizes the viewer profiles, P_1 and P_K , to generate a corresponding set of program recommendation scores for the programs in a given time interval.

C:\TEMP701258.doc 8

5

10

The first first

25

The television programming recommender 100 then processes the corresponding top-N (where N is a positive integer) sets of recommended programs, S_1 and S_K . If the two sets of programs, S_1 and S_K , are identical, then the viewer's preferences have not changed, or at least have not changed enough so that the television programming recommender 100 can perceive the difference. Thus, the oldest portions of the viewing history 200 (VH₁ in the present example) can be discarded.

If, however, the two sets of programs, S_1 and S_K , are different, with some number of programs in common, then the television programming recommender 100 has identified the common thread. Finally, if the two sets of programs, S_1 and S_K , are different, with no programs in common, then the viewer's preferences have changed completely between the two selected time periods. In the event that a change in viewing preferences is detected, the television programming recommender 100 can present one or both sets of programs, S_1 and S_K , or a combination of the two sets, such as a union or intersecting set.

FIG. 3 is a sample table from the program database 300 of FIG. 1 that records information for each program that is available in a given time interval. As shown in FIG. 3, the program database 300 contains a plurality of records, such as records 305 through 320, each associated with a given program. For each program, the program database 300 indicates the date/time and channel associated with the program in fields 340 and 345, respectively. In addition, the title and genre for each program are identified in fields 350 and 355. Additional well-known attributes (not shown), such as actors, duration, and description of the program, can also be included in the program database 300.

In accordance with one feature of the present invention, the program database 300 also records an indication of the recommendation score assigned to each program by the

C:\TEMP\701258.doc 9

5

10

25

television programming recommender 100 in field 370. In this manner, the numerical scores can be displayed to the user in the electronic program guide with each program directly or mapped onto a color spectrum or another visual cue that permits the user to guickly locate programs of interest.

FIG. 4 is a flow chart describing an exemplary viewer preference evaluation process 400 embodying principles of the present invention. As shown in FIG. 4, the viewer preference evaluation process 400 initially obtains the electronic program guide (EPG) 110 during step 410 for the time period of interest. Thereafter, the viewer preference evaluation process 400 obtains at least two sub-sets, VH $_1$ and VH $_K$, from the viewing history 200 during step 420 and generates a viewer profile, P $_1$ and P $_K$, corresponding to each sub-set, VH $_1$ and VH $_K$ during step 430.

The viewer profiles, P_1 and P_K , are utilized during corresponding set of program 440 to generate а step recommendation scores, S_1 and S_K , for the programs identified in the EPG 110 for a given time interval. A test is performed during step 450 to determine if the top-N shows from S_1 and S_K are the same. If it is determined during step 450 that the top-N shows from S_1 and S_K are the same, then the viewing preferences have not changed significantly over time, and a portion of the viewing history 200 can be deleted during step 460 without loss of information.

If, however, it is determined during step 450 that the top-N shows from S_1 and S_K are not the same, then the viewing preferences have changed significantly over time, and the recommendations should be presented to user during step 470 based, for example, on used-defined preferences. For example, the user can specify that detected changes in viewing preferences are likely permanent and that the most recent sub-set, VH_K , of the viewing history 200 should always be used to generate recommendations. Alternatively, the user can specify that

CNTEMP\701258.doc 10

5

10

25

5

10

detected changes in viewing preferences are likely temporary and that some combination of the two sub-sets, VH_1 and VH_K , of the viewing history 200, such as a union or intersection of the two sub-sets, should be used to generate recommendations. In addition, the user can specify that detected changes in viewing preferences are likely cyclical in nature and that a sub-set, VH_K , from a corresponding (similar) earlier time period should be used to generate recommendations.

It is to be understood that the embodiments and variations shown and described herein are merely illustrative of the principles of this invention and that various modifications may be implemented by those skilled in the art without departing from the scope and spirit of the invention.

C:\TEMP\701258.doc

Claims

What is claimed is:

5 1. A method for identifying changes in television viewing preferences of an individual, comprising the steps of:

obtaining a viewing history indicating a set of programs that have been watched by a user;

establishing at least two portions, VH_{1} and $\text{VH}_{\text{K}},$ from 10 said viewing history;

generating a corresponding set of program recommendation scores, S_1 and S_K , for a set of programs in a given time interval based on said at least two viewing history portions, VH_1 and VH_K ; and

comparing said sets of program recommendation scores, S_1 and S_K , to identify a change in said viewer preferences.

- 2. The method of claim 1, wherein said comparing step further comprises the step of comparing the top-N (where N is a positive integer) recommended television programs in each set, S_1 and S_{κ} .
- 3. The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of generating viewer profiles, P_1 and P_K , corresponding to said at least two portions, VH_1 and VH_K .
 - 4. The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of presenting a user with a set of recommended programs based on one or both of said sets of programs, S_1 and S_K .
 - 5. The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of presenting a user with a union set of recommended programs based on said sets of programs, S_1 and S_K .

30

25

- 6. The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of presenting a user with an intersection set of recommended programs based on said sets of programs, S_1 and S_K .
- 7. The method of claim 1, further comprising the step of presenting a user with a set of recommended programs, S_K , based on a more recent sub-set of said viewing history.
- 10 8. The method of claim 1, wherein said at least two portions, VH_1 and VH_K , from said viewing history are obtained by uniformly randomly sampling sub-sets of television programs from said viewing history.
 - 9. The method of claim 1, wherein said at least two portions, VH_1 and VH_K , from said viewing history are obtained by selecting a time span that is less than the entire time period covered by the viewing history.
 - 10. The method of claim 9, wherein said selected time span is an earlier similar time period to a given time interval.
 - 11. A method for managing the storage of a viewer history in a television program recommender, comprising the steps of:
- obtaining a viewing history indicating a set of programs that have been watched by a user;
 - establishing at least two portions, \textsc{VH}_1 and $\textsc{VH}_K,$ from said viewing history;
- generating viewer profiles, P_1 and $P_K,$ corresponding to 30 said at least two portions, VH_1 and $VH_K;$
 - generating a corresponding set of program recommendation scores, S_1 and S_K , for a set of programs in a given time interval based on said viewer profiles, P_1 and P_K ;

CNTEMP\701258.doc 13

5

comparing said sets of program recommendation scores, S_1 and S_K , to identify a change in said viewer preferences; and deleting a portion of said viewing history if said sets of program recommendation scores, S_1 and S_K are substantially similar.

- 12. The method of claim 11, wherein said comparing step further comprises the step of comparing the top-N (where N is a positive integer) recommended television programs in each set, S_1 and S_K .
- 13. The method of claim 11, wherein said at least two portions, VH_1 and VH_K , from said viewing history are obtained by uniformly randomly sampling sub-sets of television programs from said viewing history.
- 14. The method of claim 11, wherein said at least two portions, VH_1 and VH_K , from said viewing history are obtained by selecting a time span that is less than the entire time period covered by the viewing history.
- 15. The method of claim 14, wherein said selected time span is an earlier similar time period to a given time interval.
- 25 16. A system for identifying changes in television viewing preferences of an individual, comprising:
 - a memory for storing computer readable code; and
 - a processor operatively coupled to said memory, said processor configured to:
- obtain a viewing history indicating a set of programs that have been watched by a user;
 - establish at least two portions, VH_1 and VH_K , from said viewing history;

14

5

10

generate a corresponding set of program recommendation scores, S_1 and S_K , for a set of programs in a given time interval based on said at least two viewing history portions, VH_1 and VH_K ; and

- compare said sets of program recommendation scores, S_1 and $S_{\text{K}},$ to identify a change in said viewer preferences.
- 17. The system of claim 16, wherein said processor compares the top-N (where N is a positive integer) recommended television 10 programs in each set, S_1 and S_K .
 - 18. The system of claim 16, wherein said processor is further configured to generate viewer profiles, P_1 and P_K , corresponding to said at least two portions, VH_1 and VH_K .
 - 19. The system of claim 16, wherein said processor is further configured to present a user with a set of recommended programs based on one or both of said sets of programs, S_1 and S_K .
 - 20. The system of claim 16, wherein said processor is further configured to present a user with a union set of recommended programs based on said sets of programs, S_1 and S_K .
- 25 21. The system of claim 16, wherein said processor is further configured to present a user with an intersection set of recommended programs based on said sets of programs, S_1 and S_K .
- 22. The system of claim 16, wherein said processor is further configured to present a user with a set of recommended programs, S_K , based on a more recent sub-set of said viewing history.

C:\TEMP\701258.doc 15

23. The system of claim 16, wherein said at least two portions, VH_1 and VH_K , from said viewing history are obtained by uniformly randomly sampling sub-sets of television programs from said viewing history.

5

24. The system of claim 16, wherein said at least two portions, VH_1 and VH_K , from said viewing history are obtained by selecting a time span that is less than the entire time period covered by the viewing history.

10

25. The system of claim 24, wherein said selected time span is an earlier similar time period to a given time interval.

25

30

- 26. A system for managing the storage of a viewer history in a television program recommender, comprising:
 - a memory for storing computer readable code; and
- a processor operatively coupled to said memory, said processor configured to:

obtain a viewing history indicating a set of programs that have been watched by a user;

establish at least two portions, VH_1 and VH_K , from said viewing history;

generate viewer profiles, P_1 and P_K , corresponding to said at least two portions, VH_1 and VH_K ;

generate a corresponding set of program recommendation scores, S_1 and S_K , for a set of programs in a given time interval based on said viewer profiles, P_1 and P_K ;

compare said sets of program recommendation scores, S_1 and S_{κ_ℓ} to identify a change in said viewer preferences; and

delete a portion of said viewing history if said sets of program recommendation scores, S_1 and S_K are substantially similar.

- 27. The system of claim 26, wherein said processor compares the top-N (where N is a positive integer) recommended television programs in each set, S_1 and S_K .
- 5 28. The system of claim 26, wherein said at least two portions, VH_1 and VH_K , from said viewing history are obtained by uniformly randomly sampling sub-sets of television programs from said viewing history.
- 10 29. The system of claim 26, wherein said at least two portions, VH_1 and VH_K , from said viewing history are obtained by selecting a time span that is less than the entire time period covered by the viewing history.
 - 30. The system of claim 29, wherein said selected time span is an earlier similar time period to a given time interval.
 - 31. An article of manufacture for identifying changes in television viewing preferences of an individual, comprising:
 - a computer readable medium having computer readable code means embodied thereon, said computer readable program code means comprising:
 - a step to obtain a viewing history indicating a set of programs that have been watched by a user;
- a step to establish at least two portions, VH_1 and VH_K , from said viewing history;
 - a step to generate a corresponding set of program recommendation scores, S_1 and S_K , for a set of programs in a given time interval based on said at least two viewing history portions, VH_1 and VH_K ; and
 - a step to compare said sets of program recommendation scores, S_1 and S_K , to identify a change in said viewer preferences.

CNTEMP\701258.doc 17

- 32. An article of manufacture for managing the storage of a viewer history in a television program recommender, comprising:
- a computer readable medium having computer readable code means embodied thereon, said computer readable program code means comprising:
 - a step to obtain a viewing history indicating a set of programs that have been watched by a user;
- a step to establish at least two portions, VH_{1} and $\text{VH}_{\text{K}},$ 10 from said viewing history;
 - a step to generate viewer profiles, P_1 and P_K , corresponding to said at least two portions, VH_1 and VH_K ;
 - a step to generate a corresponding set of program recommendation scores, S_1 and S_K , for a set of programs in a given time interval based on said viewer profiles, P_1 and P_K ;
 - a step to compare said sets of program recommendation scores, S_1 and S_K , to identify a change in said viewer preferences; and
 - a step to delete a portion of said viewing history if said sets of program recommendation scores, S_1 and S_K are substantially similar.

ABSTRACT

A television program recommender is disclosed that automatically identifies changes in viewing preferences. identified, the disclosed changing viewing preferences are television programming recommender can (i) adapt the generated television program recommendations to such changes in viewing preferences, or (ii) more efficiently manage the storage of the viewing history. For cyclical or periodic changes in viewing preferences, the television programming recommender generates television program recommendations using a sub-set of the viewing history from a corresponding earlier time period. Likewise, for true or permanent changes in viewing preferences, the television programming recommender optionally generates television program recommendations using the most recent sub-set of the viewing current viewing reflects the history, which most likely preferences. In a further variation, program recommendations can generated using a combination, such as the intersection, of recommendations based on viewing histories from The television programming two different periods of time. recommender can confirm that viewing preferences have not changed significantly over time, and thereafter delete portions of the viewing history without loss of any performance in the generated recommendations.


25

5

10

1100-58.app

C:\TEMP\701258.doc







FIG. 2

SCANNED, #

PROGRAM DATABASE - 300

	DATE/TIME CHANNEL	CHANNEL	TITLE	GENRE	:	RECOMMENDER
	340	345	350	355		SCORE
						370
305	11/18/99 8:00	CH 1	LUCY	COMEDY		55
	P.M.					
310	11/18/99 8:30	CH 1	AL'S FAMILY	SITCOM		78
	P.M.					
	::					
320	11/18/99 9:00	CH3	YOUR HOUSE	DRAMA		96
	P.M.					

FIG 3



DECLARATION and POWER OF ATTORNEY

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled. Television Program Recommender with Automatic Identification of Changing Viewer

references	elevision 1 102				
ne specification of w	hich (check on	e)			
X is attached here		- /			
			as Application	Serial No.	and was
mended on			A.I.		
	(if applica	ble).			
I hereby state that	I have reviewe	d and under	rstand the conten	ts of the above-ide	entified specification, including the
laims, as amended by	v the amendme	nt(s) referre	ed to above.		
I acknowledge the	duty to disclos	se informati	on which is mate	rial to the patental	oility of this application in accordan
vith Title 37, Code of					
I hereby claim for	eign priority be	nefits unde	r Title 35, United	I States Code, ∋ 11	9 of any foreign application(s) for
atent or inventor's c	ertificate listed	l below and	have also identif	ried below any for	eign application for patent or invent
ertificate having a fi	ling date before	e that of the	application on v	which priority is cl	aimed:
	C		R FOREIGN AP		
COUNTRY	APPLICAT	ION	DATE OF FII	ING	PRIORITY CLAIMED
00011111	NUMBER		(DAY, MONT		UNDER 35 U.S.C. 119
application in the man	nner provided information as o	by the first defined in T	paragraph of Title itle 37, Code of 1	e 35 United States Federal Regulation	sclosed in the prior United States Code, \$112, I acknowledge the du as, \$1.56(a) which occurred betwee date of this application:
application in the man o disclose material in the filing date of the	nner provided nformation as o	by the first defined in T on and the n PRIOR U	paragraph of Title 37, Code of attional or PCT in NITED STATES	e 35 United States Federal Regulation International filing S APPLICATION	s Code, ∋112, I acknowledge the duns, ∋1.56(a) which occurred betwee date of this application: (S)
application in the man	nner provided nformation as o	by the first defined in T on and the n	paragraph of Title 37, Code of attional or PCT in NITED STATES	e 35 United States Federal Regulation International filing S APPLICATION	Code, ∋112, I acknowledge the duns, ∋1.56(a) which occurred betwee date of this application: (S) ENTED, PENDING,
application in the man of disclose material in the filing date of the part of the APPLICATION SAPPLICATION SA	nner provided nformation as oppior application	by the first defined in T on and the n PRIOR U FILING	paragraph of Titl Title 37, Code of attional or PCT in NITED STATES DATE	e 35 United States Federal Regulation International filing SAPPLICATION STATUS (PATI ABANDONED)	Code, €112, I acknowledge the duns, €1.56(a) which occurred betwee date of this application: (S) ENTED, PENDING,
APPLICATION S NUMBER I hereby declare the information and believely distance of the property of the prosecute this applicant registration num Algy Tamoshunas, R	hat all statement and the like tes Code and the lation and translation and translation.	by the first defined in T on and the mand to be true; so made are mand the	paragraph of Title 37, Code of actional or PCT in NITED STATES DATE Prein of my own kand further that the punishable by a liful false stateme entor, I hereby appreciate the purion of the punishable by the pun	e 35 United States Federal Regulation International filing S APPLICATION STATUS (PATI ABANDONED) Inowledge are true Inese statements we Time or imprisonments may jeopardize point the followin	Code, ∋112, I acknowledge the duns, ∋1.56(a) which occurred betwee date of this application: (S) ENTED, PENDING,
APPLICATION S NUMBER I hereby declare the similar of the grant of the United State of the Unit	hat all statement and the like tes Code and the lation and translation and translation.	by the first defined in T on and the mand to be true; so made are mand the	paragraph of Title 37, Code of actional or PCT in NITED STATES DATE Prein of my own kand further that the punishable by a liful false stateme entor, I hereby appreciate the purion of the punishable by the pun	e 35 United States Federal Regulation International filing S APPLICATION STATUS (PATI ABANDONED) Inowledge are true Inese statements we Time or imprisonments may jeopardize point the followin	and that all statements made on the made with the knowledge that the validity of the application or an attention and that all statements made on the tent, or both, under Section 1001 of the validity of the application or an age attorney(s) and/or agent(s) to
APPLICATION S NUMBER I hereby declare the similar of the grant of the United State patent issued thereon POWER OF ATTO prosecute this applicant registration num Algy Tamoshunas, R Jack E. Haken, Reg.	hat all statements and the like tes Code and the lation and transber) Reg. No. 27,672 No. 26,902	by the first defined in T on and the mand to be true; so made an at such will mand invested act all busing.	paragraph of Title 37, Code of actional or PCT in NITED STATES DATE Prein of my own kand further that the punishable by a liful false stateme entor, I hereby appreciate the purion of the punishable by the pun	e 35 United States Federal Regulation International filing SAPPLICATION STATUS (PATI ABANDONED) Innowledge are true These statements we fine or imprisonments may jeopardize point the followin and Trademark O	and that all statements made on the remade with the knowledge that the validity of the application or an attorney(s) and/or agent(s) to ffice connected therewith. (list name
APPLICATION S NUMBER I hereby declare the information and believely distance of the property of the prosecute this applicant registration num Algy Tamoshunas, R	hat all statement and the like the Code and the like the	by the first defined in T on and the mand to be true; so made an at such will mand invested act all busing.	paragraph of Title 37, Code of actional or PCT in NITED STATES DATE Prein of my own kand further that the punishable by a liful false stateme entor, I hereby appreciate the purion of the punishable by the pun	e 35 United States Federal Regulation International filing SAPPLICATION STATUS (PATI ABANDONED) Innowledge are true These statements we fine or imprisonments may jeopardize point the followin and Trademark O	and that all statements made on the remade with the knowledge that ant, or both, under Section 1001 of the validity of the application or an attention at the validity of the application or an attention and the connected therewith. (list name the connected therewith. (list name the connected therewith. (list name the connected therewith.)

Tarrytown, NY 10591	(914) 333-9665

Dated:		Inventor's Signature:			
Sep. 12, 2000		X.A. Land			
Full Name	Last Name:	First Name :	Middle Name:		
of					
Inventor	Kurapati	Kaushal			
Residence	City	State or Foreign Country	Country of Citizens	hip	
&	-				
Citizenship	Yorktown Heights	New York	India		
Post	Street	City	State or Country	Zip Code	
Office		_			
Address	206 Sandpiper Court	Yorktown Heights	New York	10598	
Dated:		Inventor's Signature:			
Full Name	Last Name:	First Name :	Middle Name:		
of					
Inventor			0 1 001	1	
Residence	City	State or Foreign Country	Country of Citizens	snip	
&		Novy Vorle			
Citizenship		New York		G ;	
Post	Street	City	State or Country	Zip Code	

Dated:		Inventor's Signature:		
Full Name of	Last Name:	First Name :	Middle Name:	
Inventor Residence	City	State or Foreign Country	Country of Citizens	ship
& Citizenship		New York		
Post	Street	City	State or Country	Zip Code
Office Address			New York	

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of

Atty. Docket

KAUSHAL KURAPATI

US000240

Filed: CONCURRENTLY

Title: TELEVISION PROGRAM RECOMMENDER WITH AUTOMATIC IDENTIFICATION

OF CHANGING VIEWER PREFERENCES

Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231

APPOINTMENT OF ASSOCIATES

Sir:

The undersigned Attorney of Record hereby revokes all prior appointments (if any) of Associate Attorney(s) or Agent(s) in the above-captioned case and appoints:

GREGORY L. THORNE

(Registration No. 39,398)

c/o U.S. PHILIPS CORPORATION, Intellectual Property Department, 580 White Plains Road, Tarrytown, New York 10591, his Associate Attorney(s)/Agent(s) with all the usual powers to prosecute the above-identified application and any division or continuation thereof, to make alterations and amendments therein, and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith.

ALL CORRESPONDENCE CONCERNING THIS APPLICATION AND THE LETTERS PATENT WHEN GRANTED SHOULD BE ADDRESSED TO THE UNDERSIGNED ATTORNEY OF RECORD.

Respectfully,

ack E. Haken, Reg. 26,902 torney of Record

Dated at Tarrytown, New York on September 18, 2000.